

UNITED STATE. JEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/988,181	12/10/97	OSHIMA		S	048708	
	QM32/1027		コ	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS				GORDON, R		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON :	DC 20037			3711	13	
				DATE MAILED:	10/27/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/988,181 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Raeann Gorden

Group Art Unit 3711

Oshlma

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 8, 2000
 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).
Disposition of Claim
Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s) is/are allowed.
Claim(s)is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
received.
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
•

Part of Paper No.

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/988,181

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connell in view of Taylor. Connell discloses a box with pictures and written descriptions of the contents of the box. Although Connell discloses cigar information on the box a difference in what is attributed to printed matter does not provide a basis on which patentability may be predicted. Ex parte Breslow, 192 USPQ 431, U.S. Industries, Inc et al v. Ladd, 141 USPQ376. Golf balls with different playing characteristics, as disclosed by Taylor, are usually sold in boxes having a cover. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to label a box with pictorial illustrations and descriptive text of each ball since such is a conventional technique for informing the golfer of the different golf balls.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/988,181

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is (703) 308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternating Fridays from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette Chapman, can be reached on 703-308-1310. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

rg

October 23, 2000

Mark S. Graham

Page 3